

FITCH AFFIRMS 4 TURKISH INDEPENDENT FACTORING COMPANIES; DOWNGRADES 1

Fitch Ratings-London-29 September 2016: Fitch Ratings has affirmed the National Long-Term Ratings of Kapital Faktoring A.S. (Kapital), Destek Faktoring A.S. (Destek), Eko Faktoring A.S. (Eko) and Optima Faktoring Hizmetleri A.S. (Optima). Fitch has also downgraded the National Long-Term Rating of Lider Faktoring A.S. (Lider) to 'A-(tur)' from 'A(tur)'. The Outlook on Eko's rating is Negative. The Outlooks on the other entities' ratings are Stable.

A full list of rating actions is at the end of this rating action commentary.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

NATIONAL RATINGS

The National Long-Term ratings of Kapital, Destek, Lider, Optima and Eko are all driven by their standalone strength. The five factoring companies collectively controlled 15% of domestic receivables in Turkey's factoring sector at end-1H16. The ratings of each of the companies reflect their monoline business models, with recourse factoring making up nearly all of the companies' assets and revenues. This results in earnings sensitivity to economic cycles in Turkey. The ratings also factor in the potential instability of the companies' balance sheet ratios given the generally short-term nature of their assets and liabilities, as well as the wholesale-funding reliant debt structures.

The factoring sector remains small in Turkey, making up only 1.2% of total banking sector assets at end-1H16. The presence of bank-owned factoring subsidiaries, which enjoy better funding access and risk systems, has increased significantly in recent years. This has caused higher competition in target customer segments for independent players. The impaired factoring receivables to gross receivables ratio (end-1H16: 5.7%) is higher than the banking sector average (3.3%) and reflects the generally riskier customer base of factoring companies, weaker underwriting standards and more seasoned portfolios. The companies that are opting for factoring credit are usually unbanked micro-enterprises and SMEs that require liquidity in order to cover a range of cash, tax and working capital needs.

Kapital and Destek's higher ratings reflect their leading, independent franchises, solid capitalisation and track-record of sound financial metrics. Credit losses at Kapital and Destek have been low and the companies' impaired receivables ratio (overdue by 90+ days) reached a low 2.2% and 0.8% at end-1H16, respectively. Both entities' leverage and gearing ratios are comfortable for their ratings, with debt/equity ratios of 1.6x and 2.5x, respectively. A high profit retention rate and supportive shareholders have allowed the two companies to operate with high capital adequacy ratios (equity/assets: 38% and 32%, respectively, at end-1H16) and significant capital buffers should enable them to absorb unexpected losses.

Destek's rating also captures significant borrower concentration in factoring receivables, as the largest 25 borrowers accounted for a high 70% of total receivables at end-1H16 (equivalent to 2.2x equity). This reflects the company's strategy of targeting relatively larger corporate customers.

Eko's ratings are constrained by weak asset quality and the ensuing weak performance. The impaired receivables ratio reached a high 18% at end-1H16, significantly above the sector- and peer-average. The company is implementing a restructuring plan, which includes a shift in the target customer base towards larger SME and commercial companies to improve credit quality. Eko has also reduced the number of branches and personnel to improve cost efficiency.

The negative trends in Eko's performance are mitigated by a comfortable capital position; the debt-to-equity was a reasonable 3.5x at end-1H16, which means Eko has a significant capital buffer to absorb further losses. The Negative Outlook on Eko's rating reflects the potential weakening of its company profile as a consequence of its recent weak performance and its planned shift into new customer segments.

The downgrade of Lider's National Long-Term Rating primarily reflects its significantly higher leverage, with the debt-to-equity ratio reaching 8.4x at end-1H16 (end 2015: 6.2x, end 2013: 5.2x). The increase in leverage is related to large dividend payments in the last 18 months and a sharp (29%) increase in factoring receivables in 1H16. Facilities from minority shareholder Credit Suisse Group AG (A-/Stable; accounting for 13% of Lider's total debt at end-1H16) supports funding. The rating also considers Lider's moderate franchise, experienced management and its reasonable performance.

Optima's lower rating reflects its limited franchise, as it controlled a moderate 0.6% of domestic receivables at end-1H16. The company targets small, high risk customers, reflected in high margins, which supports profitability. Optima's return on equity (ROE) ratio reached 20% at end-1H16 and has been relatively stable over volatile interest rate and economic cycles. Asset quality metrics are reasonable for the business model.

The companies' ratings also capture their reliance on typically short-term wholesale funding from Turkish banks and local bond markets. Nevertheless, market access has been reasonable for all companies. However, the deterioration in Eko's asset quality could undermine funding access given a reduced stock of performing receivables that could serve as collateral. Optima's funding is less diversified than peers as it had not issued any bonds as at end-1H16.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

NATIONAL RATINGS

The ratings of all five factoring companies are sensitive to a material weakening in asset quality and leverage ratios. Their credit risk profiles mean that Fitch believes independent factoring companies are more vulnerable to asset quality deterioration than their bank-owned peers, which could lead to liquidity and performance pressures.

Kapital and Destek's ratings are unlikely to be upgraded given their already high ratings in the context of their business profiles. In addition, Destek's rating could be downgraded if there is a material increase in receivables concentration. However, a material strengthening of their franchise or a sustainable diversification of funding sources could create moderate upside rating potential.

Eko's rating could be downgraded if asset quality continues to deteriorate. Eko's rating could also be downgraded if the restructuring process does not result in a sustainable improvement in its performance and a stabilisation of the company's franchise. A liquidity squeeze would also be negative. Significant improvements in asset quality and performance could lead us to revise Eko's Outlook to Stable.

Lider's rating could be downgraded if there is a continued increase in leverage ratios. An improvement in leverage ratios and material strengthening of its franchise could lead to upside potential for Lider's rating.

Optima's rating could face downward pressure if its capitalisation and leverage position weakened significantly. Upward rating potential is unlikely given Optima's weaker franchise.

The rating actions are as follows:

Kapital Faktoring A.S. and Destek Faktoring A.S.
National Long-Term Rating affirmed at 'A+(tur)'; Outlook Stable

Lider Faktoring A.S.
National Long-Term Rating downgraded to 'A-(tur)' from 'A(tur)'; Outlook Stable

Optima Faktoring A.S.
National Long-Term Rating affirmed at 'BBB(tur)'; Outlook Stable

Eko Faktoring A.S.
National Long-Term Rating affirmed at 'BBB(tur)'; Outlook Negative

Contact:

Primary Analyst

Aslan Tavitov

Director

+44 20 3530 1788

Fitch Ratings Limited

30 North Colonnade

London E14 5GN

Secondary Analyst

Ahmet Kilinc (Lider, Optima)

Analyst

+44 203 530 1272

Secondary Analyst

Huseyin Sevinc (Kapital, Destek, Eko)

Analyst

+44 203 530 1027

Committee Chairperson

James Watson

Managing Director

+7 495 956 9901

Media Relations: Elaine Bailey, London, Tel: +44 203 530 1153, Email:
elaine.bailey@fitchratings.com.

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

Applicable Criteria

Global Non-Bank Financial Institutions Rating Criteria (pub. 15 Jul 2016)

<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/884128>

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings). IN ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEB SITE AT WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

Copyright © 2016 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources

are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001